

[Generate Collection](#) [Print](#)

L5: Entry 2 of 3

File: USPT

Jun 6, 2000

US-PAT-NO: 6073124

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6073124 A

TITLE: Method and system for securely incorporating electronic information into an online purchasing application

DATE-ISSUED: June 6, 2000

INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY
Krishnan; Ganapathy	Bellevue	WA		
Guthrie; John	Seattle	WA		
Oyler; Scott	Seattle	WA		

ASSIGNEE-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY	TYPE CODE
ShopNow.com Inc.	Seattle	WA			02

APPL-NO: 08/ 895221 [PALM]

DATE FILED: July 15, 1997

PARENT-CASE:

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS This application is a continuation-in-part of a U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/049,844, entitled "A Method and System of Securely Incorporating Digital Information into an Electronic Store," filed on Jun. 17, 1997, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety. This application is also a continuation-in-part of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 08/792,719, entitled "Method and System for Injecting New Code Into Existing Application Code," filed on Jan. 29, 1997, and which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

INT-CL: [07] G06 F 17/60

US-CL-ISSUED: 705/59, 705/51, 705/26

US-CL-CURRENT: 705/59, 705/26, 705/51

FIELD-OF-SEARCH: 705/1, 705/18, 705/21, 705/26, 705/51, 705/59, 380/3, 380/4, 380/23, 380/24, 380/25, 380/277

PRIOR-ART-DISCLOSED:

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

[Search Selected](#)[Search ALL](#)

PAT-NO	ISSUE-DATE	PATENTEE-NAME	US-CL
<u>5005122</u>	April 1991	Griffin et al.	709/203
<u>5337357</u>	August 1994	Chou et al.	380/4
<u>5390297</u>	February 1995	Barber et al.	364/280
<u>5530752</u>	June 1996	Rubin	380/4
<u>5553143</u>	September 1996	Ross et al.	380/25
<u>5592549</u>	January 1997	Nagel et al.	380/4
<u>5708709</u>	January 1998	Rose	380/4
<u>5710887</u>	January 1998	Chelliah et al.	705/26
<u>5724424</u>	March 1998	Gifford	380/24
<u>5757908</u>	May 1998	Cooper et al.	380/4
<u>5758068</u>	May 1998	Brandt et al.	713/200
<u>5758069</u>	May 1998	Olsen	713/201
<u>5778173</u>	July 1998	Apte	380/25
<u>5794259</u>	August 1998	Kikinis	707/507
<u>5805802</u>	September 1998	Marx	380/4
<u>5845070</u>	December 1998	Ikudome	380/25
<u>5895454</u>	April 1999	Harrington	705/26
<u>5897622</u>	April 1999	Blinn et al.	705/26
<u>5898777</u>	April 1999	Tycksen, Jr. et al.	380/4
<u>5909492</u>	June 1999	Payne et al.	380/24
<u>5918213</u>	June 1999	Bernard et al.	705/26
<u>5940807</u>	August 1999	Purcell	705/26

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

FOREIGN-PAT-NO	PUBN-DATE	COUNTRY	US-CL
0 667 572 A1	August 1995	EP	
0 704 785 A2	April 1996	EP	
WO 97/14087	April 1997	EP	
0 778 512 A2	June 1997	EP	
0 795 809 A2	September 1997	EP	

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

T. Berners-Lee et al., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol--HTTP 1.0," Request for Comments (RFC) 1945, MIT/LCS, May, 1996.

T. Berners-Lee et al., "Uniform Resource Locators (URL)," RFC 1738, CERN, Xerox PARC, Univ. of Minn., Dec., 1994.

T. Berners-Lee and D. Connolly, "Hypertext Markup Language-2.0," RFC 1866, MIT/W3C, Nov., 1995.

J. O'Donnell et al., "Special Edition Using Microsoft Internet Explorer 3," QUE Corp., Table of Contents, 1996.

Schneier, Bruce, "Applied Cryptography," John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Table of Contents, 1994.

Digital's EDI Services, Jul. 26, 1997.

Patterson, Wayne, "Mathematical Cryptology for Computer Scientists and

Mathematicians," Rowman & Littlefield, 1987. Table of Contents.

ART-UNIT: 274

PRIMARY-EXAMINER: Trammell; James P.

ASSISTANT-EXAMINER: Rosen; Nicholas David

ABSTRACT:

A method and system for facilitating digital commerce using a secure digital commerce system is provided. The secure digital commerce system is arranged according to a client/server architecture and includes a modularized DCS client and DCS server. The DCS client and the DCS server are incorporated into an online purchasing system, such as a virtual store, to perform the purchase and online delivery of electronic content. The DCS client includes a set of components which include a secured copy of the merchandise and various components needed to license and purchase the merchandise and to unsecure and process (e.g., execute) the licensed merchandise. The DCS client communicates with the DCS server to download the components onto a customer's computer system and to license and purchase a requested item of merchandise. The DCS server, which includes a content supplier server, a licensing and purchasing broker, and a payment processing function, supplies merchandise-specific components and licenses the requested item of merchandise by generating an electronic certificate. The electronic certificate contains license parameters that are specific to the requested merchandise and an indicated purchasing option. Once a valid electronic license certificate for the requested merchandise is received by the DCS client, the merchandise is made available to the customer for use in accordance with the licensing parameters contained in the electronic license certificate.

16 Claims, 21 Drawing figures

L5: Entry 2 of 3

File: USPT

Jun 6, 2000.

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6073124 A

TITLE: Method and system for securely incorporating electronic information into an online purchasing application

US PATENT NO. (1):
6073124

Brief Summary Text (2):

The present invention relates to facilitating the purchase of electronic information using digital commerce and, in particular, to providing a component-based architecture that facilitates online licensing and purchase of digital content and software.

Detailed Description Text (56):

Specifically, in step 2102, the broker determines whether there are more items remaining to be processed for the request and, if so, continues in step 2103, else finishes processing. In step 2103, the licensing and purchasing broker determines whether the item is an ESD item or a non-ESD item. One mechanism used to determine whether the item is an ESD or a non-ESD item is to store a flag in the version table in the password generation data repository. For each purchasable item (ProductSkuld), the version table stores either a password configuration identifier or a distributor information identifier. In step 2104, if the item is an ESD item, then the broker continues in step 2105, else continues in step 2106. In step 2105, the broker executes the steps previously discussed with reference to FIG. 12 for items that are deliverable online. In step 2106, the broker determines distributor contact information for the non-ESD item from a distributor information table stored within a data repository. The distributor information table for non-ESD transactions can be stored along with the password generation tables in the password generation data repository or in its own data repository. The distributor information stored in the table includes sufficient location information for contacting a distributor from whom the item can be purchased using an electronic request. In step 2107, the broker obtains preauthorization information for a method of payment specified by the customer. It is assumed in this step that such information has been already obtained. If necessary, however, the broker sends appropriate requests to the code that initiated the purchase request (for example, the user interface library) to obtain method of payment information from the user and to continue accordingly. Preauthorization is necessitated by non-ESD purchases, which require a shipment date before the broker is able to charge the purchase to a customer's credit card. The preauthorization is performed by the payment processing function (e.g., the payment processing function 309 in FIG. 3). In step 2108, if the purchase is preauthorized, then the broker continues in step 2109, else continues in step 2110. In step 2109, the broker sends a purchase order to the located distributor for the merchandise using a well-known Electronic Data Interchange ("EDI") format and commercial EDI products, such as those provided by Digital Corporation. One skilled in the art will recognize that any mechanism that allows information for electronically providing a purchase order would be operable with the licensing and purchasing broker. In step 2110, the broker returns the results of the preauthorization attempt to the requesting routine, and then returns to the beginning of the loop in step 2101.

End of Result Set [Generate Collection](#)

L5: Entry 3 of 3

File: USPT

Feb 3, 1998

US-PAT-NO: 5715403

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 5715403 A

TITLE: System for controlling the distribution and use of digital works having attached usage rights where the usage rights are defined by a usage rights grammar

DATE-ISSUED: February 3, 1998

INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY
Stefik; Mark J.	Woodside	CA		

ASSIGNEE-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY	TYPE CODE
Xerox Corporation	Stamford	CT			02

APPL-NO: 08/ 344041 [PALM]

DATE FILED: November 23, 1994

INT-CL: [06] G06 F 1/14, G06 F 13/372

US-CL-ISSUED: 395/244; 395/188.01, 395/800, 380/23

US-CL-CURRENT: 705/44; 705/54, 705/57, 709/229, 713/202

FIELD-OF-SEARCH: 395/800, 395/600, 395/700, 395/775, 395/650, 395/182.13, 395/608, 395/183.14, 395/201, 395/569, 395/825, 395/712, 395/187.01, 395/188.01, 395/244, 395/217, 380/4, 380/15, 380/18, 380/20, 380/25, 380/24, 380/23, 380/30, 364/DIG.1, 364/DIG.2, 364/41R, 340/825.33, 340/825.34, 348/3, 455/4.1, 455/5.1, 455/26.1

PRIOR-ART-DISCLOSED:**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

PAT-NO	ISSUE-DATE	PATENTEE-NAME	US-CL
<u>3790700</u>	February 1974	Callais et al.	348/3
<u>4529870</u>	July 1985	Chaum	235/380
<u>4658093</u>	April 1987	Hellman	380/25
<u>4891838</u>	January 1990	Faber	380/25
<u>4924378</u>	May 1990	Hershey et al.	364/200
<u>4932054</u>	June 1990	Chou et al.	380/4
<u>4937863</u>	June 1990	Robert et al.	380/4
<u>4953209</u>	August 1990	Ryder, Sr. et al.	380/23
<u>4961142</u>	October 1990	Elliott et al.	364/408
<u>4977594</u>	December 1990	Shear	380/4
<u>5010571</u>	April 1991	Katznelson	380/4
<u>5014234</u>	May 1991	Edwards, Jr.	364/900
<u>5023907</u>	June 1991	Johnson et al.	380/4
<u>5047928</u>	September 1991	Wiedemer	364/406
<u>5050213</u>	September 1991	Shear	380/25
<u>5058164</u>	October 1991	Elmer et al.	380/50
<u>5103476</u>	April 1992	Waite et al.	380/4
<u>5113519</u>	May 1992	Johnson et al.	395/600
<u>5138712</u>	August 1992	Corbin	395/700
<u>5146499</u>	September 1992	Geffrotin	380/23
<u>5159182</u>	October 1992	Eisele	235/492
<u>5191193</u>	March 1993	Le Roux	235/379
<u>5204897</u>	April 1993	Wyman	380/4
<u>5247575</u>	September 1993	Sprague et al.	380/9
<u>5255106</u>	October 1993	Castro	380/18
<u>5260999</u>	November 1993	Wyman	380/4
<u>5291596</u>	March 1994	Mita	395/608
<u>5339091</u>	August 1994	Yamazaki et al.	345/104

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

FOREIGN-PAT-NO	PUBN-DATE	COUNTRY	US-CL
0332707	September 1989	EP	
2236604	April 1991	GB	
WO9220022	November 1992	WO	
9301550	January 1993	WO	

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Press Release From Electronic Publishing Resources, Inc. (EPR) entitled "National Semiconductor and EPR Partner for Information Metering/Data Security Cards", dated

Mar. 4, 1994.

Weber, R., "Digital Rights Management Technology", Oct. 1995.
European Search Report for Corresponding European Application 95308417.5.
U. Flasche et al., Decentralized Processing of Documents, Comput. & Graphics, vol. 10, No. 2, 1986, pp. 119-131.
R. Mori et al., Superdistribution: The Concept and the Architecture, The Transactions of the IEICE, vol. E 73, No. 7, 1990, Tokyo, JP, pp. 1133-1146.
Weber, R., "Metering Technologies For Digital Intellectual Property," A Report to the International Federation of Reproduction Rights Organizations, Oct. 1994, pp. 1-29.
Clark, P.C. and Hoffman, L.J., "Bits: A Smartcard Protected Operating System," Communications of the ACM, Nov. 1994, vol. 37, No. 11, pp. 66-70, and 94.
Ross, P.E., "Data guard", Forbes, Jun. 6, 1994, p. 101.
Saigh, W.K., "Knowledge is Sacred," Video Pocket/Page Reader Systems, Ltd., 1992.
Kahn, R.E., "Deposit, Registration And Recordation In An Electronic Copyright Management System," Corporation for National Research Initiatives, Virginia, Aug. 1992, pp. 1-19.
Hilts, P., Mutter, J., and Taylor, S., "Books While U Wait," Publishers Weekly, Jan. 3, 1994, pp. 48-50.
Strattner, A., "Cash register on a chip" may revolutionize software pricing and distribution; Wave Systems Corp., Computer Shopper. Copyright, Apr. 1994, vol. 14, No. 4; p. 62; ISSN 0886-0556.
O'Conner, M.A., "New distribution option for electronic publishers; iOpener data encryption and metering system for CD-ROM use; Column," CD-ROM Professional, Copyright, Mar. 1994, vol. 7; No. 2; p. 134; ISSN: 1049-0833.
Willett, S., "Metered PCs: Is your system watching you?"; Wave Systems beta tests new technology, InfoWorld, Copyright, May 2, 1994, p. 84.
Linn, R.J., "Copyright and Information Services in the Context of the National Research and Education Network.sup.1," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 9-20.
erritt, Jr., H.H., "Permissions Headers and Contract Law," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 27-48.
Upthegrove, L., and Roberts, R., "Intellectual Property Header Descriptors: A Dynamic Approach," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 63-66.
Sirbu, M.A., "Internet Billing Service Design and Prototype Implementation," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 67-80.
Simmel, S.S., and Godard, I., "Metering and Licensing of Resources: Kala's General Purpose Approach," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 81-110.
Kahn, R.E., "Deposit, Registration and Recordation in an Electronic Copyright Management System," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 111-120.
Tygar, J.D., and Bennet, Y., "Dyad: A System for Using Physically Secure Coprocessors," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 121-152.
Griswold, G.N., "A Method for Protecting Copyright on Networks," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 169-178.
Nelson, T.H., "A Publishing and Royalty Model for Networked Documents," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 257-259.

ART-UNIT: 232

PRIMARY-EXAMINER: Pan; Daniel H.

ABSTRACT:

A system for controlling use and distribution of digital works. The present invention allows the owner of a digital work to attach usage rights to their work. The usage rights define how the individual digital work may be used and distributed. Instances of usage rights are defined using a flexible and extensible usage rights grammar. Conceptually, a right in the usage rights grammar is a label associated with a predetermined behavior and conditions to exercising the right. The behavior of a usage right is embodied in a predetermined set of usage transaction steps. The usage transaction steps further check all conditions which must be satisfied before the right may be exercised. These usage transaction steps define a protocol for requesting the exercise of a right and the carrying out of a right.

28 Claims, 20 Drawing figures

End of Result Set

 [Generate Collection](#) [Print](#)

L5: Entry 3 of 3

File: USPT

Feb 3, 1998

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 5715403 A

TITLE: System for controlling the distribution and use of digital works having attached usage rights where the usage rights are defined by a usage rights grammar

US PATENT NO. (1):
5715403

Brief Summary Text (21):

A system available from Wave Systems Corp. of Princeton, N.Y., provides for metering of software usage on a personal computer. The system is installed onto a computer and collects information on what software is in use, encrypts it and then transmits the information to a transaction center. From the transaction center, a bill is generated and sent to the user. The transaction center also maintains customer accounts so that licensing fees may be forwarded directly to the software providers. Software operating under this system must be modified so that usage can be accounted.

Detailed Description Text (65):

From FIGS. 5 and 6 it is readily observed that a digital work can be represented by its component parts as a hierarchy. The description tree for a digital work is comprised of a set of related descriptor blocks (d-blocks). The contents of each d-block is described with respect to FIG. 7. Referring to FIG. 7, a d-block 700 includes an identifier 701 which is a unique identifier for the work in the repository, a starting address 702 providing the start address of the first byte of the work, a length 703 giving the number of bytes in the work, a rights portion 704 wherein the granted usage rights and their status data are maintained, a parent pointer 705 for pointing to a parent d-block and child pointers 706 for pointing to the child d-blocks. In the currently preferred embodiment, the identifier 701 has two parts. The first part is a unique number assigned to the repository upon manufacture. The second part is a unique number assigned to the work upon creation. The rights portion 704 will contain a data structure, such as a look-up table, wherein the various information associated with a right is maintained. The information required by the respective usage rights is described in more detail below. D-blocks form a strict hierarchy. The top d-block of a work has no parent; all other d-blocks have one parent. The relationship of usage rights between parent and child d-blocks and how conflicts are resolved is described below.

Detailed Description Text (205):

The digital work can be played, transferred, or copied. Copies or transfers must be on repositories of security level 3 or greater. Copying requires the license License-123-ID issued to the copying repository. None of the rights require fees.

Detailed Description Text (441):

In this scenario, a creator wants to protect the reputation and value of his work by making certain requirements on its distributors. He issues licenses to distributors that satisfy the requirements, and in turn, promises to reward their efforts by assuring that the work will not be distributed over competing channels. The distributors incur expenses for selecting the digital work, explaining it to buyers, promoting its sale, and possibly for the license itself. The distributor obtains the right to enclose the digital work in a shell, whose function is to permit the attachment of usage fees to be paid to the distributor in addition to the fees to be paid to the creator.

Detailed Description Text (514):

In the simplest scenario, when a user wants to print a digital document he issues a print command to the user interface. If the document has the appropriate rights and the conditions are satisfied, the user agrees to the fee and the document is printed. In other cases, the printer may be on a remote repository and it is convenient to spool the printing to a later time. This leads to several issues. The user requesting the printing wants to be sure that he is not billed for the printing until the document is actually printed. Restated, if he is billed at the time the print job is spooled but the job is canceled before printing is done, he does not want to pay. Another issue is that when spooling is permitted, there are now two times at which rights, conditions and fees could be checked: the time at which a print job is spooled and the time at which a print is made. As with all usage rights, it is possible to have rights that expire and to have rights whose fee depends on various conditions. What is needed is a means to check rights and conditions at the time that printing is actually done.

L4: Entry 1 of 3

File: USPT

Aug 29, 2000

US-PAT-NO: 6112181

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6112181 A

TITLE: Systems and methods for matching, selecting, narrowcasting, and/or classifying based on rights management and/or other information

DATE-ISSUED: August 29, 2000

INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY
Shear; Victor H.	Bethesda	MD		
Van Wie; David M.	Sunnyvale	CA		
Weber; Robert P.	Menlo Park	CA		

ASSIGNEE-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY	TYPE CODE
InterTrust Technologies Corporation	Santa Clara	CA			02

APPL-NO: 08/ 965185 [PALM]
 DATE FILED: November 6, 1997

INT-CL: [07] G06 E 17/60

US-CL-ISSUED: 705/1
 US-CL-CURRENT: 705/1

FIELD-OF-SEARCH: 705/1, 705/10, 705/14, 705/40, 705/400, 707/9, 707/10, 380/4

PRIOR-ART-DISCLOSED:

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

PAT-NO	ISSUE-DATE	PATENTEE-NAME	US-CL
<input type="checkbox"/> <u>3573747</u>	April 1971	Adams et al.	705/37
<input type="checkbox"/> <u>3609697</u>	September 1971	Blevins	395/702
<input type="checkbox"/> <u>3796830</u>	March 1974	Smith	380/37
<input type="checkbox"/> <u>3798359</u>	March 1974	Feistel	380/37
<input type="checkbox"/> <u>3798360</u>	March 1974	Feistel	380/37
<input type="checkbox"/> <u>3798605</u>	March 1974	Feistel	380/37
<input type="checkbox"/> <u>3806882</u>	April 1974	Clarke	711/164
<input type="checkbox"/> <u>3829833</u>	August 1974	Freeny, Jr.	340/825.31
<input type="checkbox"/> <u>3906448</u>	September 1975	Henriques	235/438

<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3911397</u>	October 1975	Freeny, Jr.	235/382
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3924065</u>	December 1975	Freeny, Jr.	375/274
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3931504</u>	January 1976	Jacoby	713/200
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3946200</u>	March 1976	Brobeck et al.	705/25
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3956615</u>	May 1976	Anderson et al.	380/24
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3958081</u>	May 1976	Ehrsam et al.	380/29
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3970992</u>	July 1976	Boothroyd et al.	705/43
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4048619</u>	September 1977	Forman, Jr. et al.	370/485
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4071911</u>	January 1978	Mazur	364/130
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4112421</u>	September 1978	Freeny, Jr.	342/457
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4120030</u>	October 1978	Johnstone	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4163280</u>	July 1979	Mori et al.	711/207
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4168396</u>	September 1979	Best	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4196310</u>	April 1980	Forman et al.	380/46
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4200913</u>	April 1980	Kuhar et al.	341/23
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4209787</u>	June 1980	Freeny, Jr.	342/457
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4217588</u>	August 1980	Freeny, Jr.	342/458
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4220991</u>	September 1980	Hamano et al.	705/18
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4232193</u>	November 1980	Gerard	380/36
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4232317</u>	November 1980	Freeny, Jr.	342/464
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4236217</u>	November 1980	Kennedy	702/61
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4253157</u>	February 1981	Kirschner et al.	707/104
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4262329</u>	April 1981	Bright et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4265371</u>	May 1981	Desai et al.	222/639
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4270182</u>	May 1981	Asija	704/8
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4278837</u>	July 1981	Best	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4305131</u>	December 1981	Best	345/327
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4306289</u>	December 1981	Lumley	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4309569</u>	January 1982	Merkle	380/23
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4319079</u>	March 1982	Best	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4323921</u>	April 1982	Guillou	380/18
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4328544</u>	May 1982	Baldwin et al.	705/24
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4337483</u>	June 1982	Guillou	380/20
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4361877</u>	November 1982	Dyer et al.	702/176
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4375579</u>	March 1983	Davida et al.	380/28
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4433207</u>	February 1984	Best	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4434464</u>	February 1984	Suzuki et al.	711/164
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4442486</u>	April 1984	Mayer	713/200

<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4446519</u>	May 1984	Thomas	711/164
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4454594</u>	June 1984	Heffron et al.	713/200
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4458315</u>	July 1984	Uchenick	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4462076</u>	July 1984	Smith, III	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4462078</u>	July 1984	Ross	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4465901</u>	August 1984	Best	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4471163</u>	September 1984	Donald et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4484217</u>	November 1984	Block et al.	360/48
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4494156</u>	January 1985	Kadison et al.	348/3
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4513174</u>	April 1985	Herman	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4528588</u>	July 1985	Lofberg	348/5.5
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4528643</u>	July 1985	Freeny, Jr.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4553252</u>	November 1985	Egendorf	377/15
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4558176</u>	December 1985	Arnold et al	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4558413</u>	December 1985	Schmidt et al.	707/203
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4562306</u>	December 1985	Chou et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4562495</u>	December 1985	Bond et al.	360/78.04
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4577289</u>	March 1986	Comerford et al.	360/60
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4584641</u>	April 1986	Guglielmino	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4588991</u>	May 1986	Atalla	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4589064</u>	May 1986	Chiba et al.	711/164
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4593183</u>	June 1986	Fukatsu	235/379
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4593353</u>	June 1986	Pickholtz	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4593376</u>	June 1986	Volk	705/16
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4595950</u>	June 1986	Lofberg	380/5
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4597058</u>	June 1986	Izumi et al.	711/115
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4634807</u>	January 1987	Chorley et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4644493</u>	February 1987	Chandra et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4646234</u>	February 1987	Tolman et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4652990</u>	March 1987	Pailen et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4658093</u>	April 1987	Hellman	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4670857</u>	June 1987	Rackman	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4672572</u>	June 1987	Alsberg	380/23
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4677434</u>	June 1987	Fascenda	380/23
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4680731</u>	July 1987	Izumi et al.	365/52
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4683553</u>	July 1987	Mollier	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4685056</u>	August 1987	Barnsdale et al.	711/164
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4688169</u>	August 1987	Joshi	364/280

<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4691350</u>	September 1987	Kleijne et al.	380/3
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4696034</u>	September 1987	Wiedemer	380/16
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4701846</u>	October 1987	Ikeda et al.	711/163
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4712238</u>	December 1987	Gilhousen et al.	380/20
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4713753</u>	December 1987	Boebert et al.	711/164
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4740890</u>	April 1988	Tobin	713/200
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4747139</u>	May 1988	Taaffe	380/44
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4757533</u>	July 1988	Allen et al.	380/25
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4757534</u>	July 1988	Matyas et al.	380/25
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4768087</u>	August 1988	Taub et al.	348/3
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4791565</u>	December 1988	Dunham et al.	348/3
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4796181</u>	January 1989	Wiedemer	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4799156</u>	January 1989	Shavit et al.	705/26
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4807288</u>	February 1989	Ugon et al.	380/30
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4817140</u>	March 1989	Chandra et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4823264</u>	April 1989	Deming	705/39
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4827508</u>	May 1989	Shear	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4858121</u>	August 1989	Barber et al.	705/2
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4864494</u>	September 1989	Kobus	713/200
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4868877</u>	September 1989	Fischer	380/25
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4903296</u>	February 1990	Chandra et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4924378</u>	May 1990	Hershey et al.	713/201
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4930073</u>	May 1990	Cina, Jr.	395/726
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4949187</u>	August 1990	Cohen	386/69
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4977594</u>	December 1990	Shear	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4999806</u>	March 1991	Chernow et al.	395/712
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5001752</u>	March 1991	Fischer	380/23
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5005122</u>	April 1991	Griffin et al.	709/203
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5005200</u>	April 1991	Fischer	380/30
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5010571</u>	April 1991	Katznelson	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5023907</u>	June 1991	Johnson et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5047928</u>	September 1991	Wiedemer	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5048085</u>	September 1991	Abraham et al.	380/23
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5050213</u>	September 1991	Shear	380/25
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5091966</u>	February 1992	Bloomberg et al.	382/203
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5103392</u>	April 1992	Mori	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5103476</u>	April 1992	Waite et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5111390</u>	May 1992	Ketcham	395/705

<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5119493</u>	June 1992	Janis et al.	395/704
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5126936</u>	June 1992	Champion et al.	705/6
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5128525</u>	July 1992	Stearns et al.	235/454
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5136643</u>	August 1992	Fischer	380/23
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5136646</u>	August 1992	Haber et al.	380/49
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5136647</u>	August 1992	Haber et al.	380/49
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5136716</u>	August 1992	Harvey et al.	709/228
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5146575</u>	September 1992	Nolan, Jr.	711/164
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5148481</u>	September 1992	Abraham et al.	380/46
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5155680</u>	October 1992	Wiedemer	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5168147</u>	December 1992	Bloomberg	235/456
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5185717</u>	February 1993	Mori	365/63
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5187787</u>	February 1993	Skeen et al.	709/300
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5214702</u>	May 1993	Fischer	380/30
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5221833</u>	June 1993	Hecht	235/494
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5222134</u>	June 1993	Waite et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5224160</u>	June 1993	Paulini et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5224163</u>	June 1993	Gasser et al.	380/30
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5235642</u>	August 1993	Wobber	380/25
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5241671</u>	August 1993	Reed et al.	707/104
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5245165</u>	September 1993	Zhang	235/454
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5247575</u>	September 1993	Sprague et al.	380/9
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5257369</u>	October 1993	Skeen et al.	709/300
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5260999</u>	November 1993	Wyman	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5263158</u>	November 1993	Janis	707/1
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5265164</u>	November 1993	Matyas et al.	380/30
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5276735</u>	January 1994	Boebert et al.	380/21
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5301231</u>	April 1994	Abraham et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5311591</u>	May 1994	Fischer	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5319705</u>	June 1994	Halter et al.	707/1
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5335169</u>	August 1994	Chong	705/5
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5337360</u>	August 1994	Fischer	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5341429</u>	August 1994	Stringer et al.	380/23
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5343527</u>	August 1994	Moore	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5347579</u>	September 1994	Blandford	380/25
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5351293</u>	September 1994	Michener et al.	380/21
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5373440</u>	December 1994	Cohen et al.	705/14
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5373561</u>	December 1994	Haber et al.	380/49

<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5390247</u>	February 1995	Fischer	380/25
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5390330</u>	February 1995	Talati	395/703
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5394469</u>	February 1995	Nagel et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5410598</u>	April 1995	Shear	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5412717</u>	May 1995	Fischer	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5418713</u>	May 1995	Allen	705/32
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5421006</u>	May 1995	Jablon	714/36
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5422953</u>	June 1995	Fischer	380/23
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5428606</u>	June 1995	Moskowitz	370/400
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5438508</u>	August 1995	Wyman	705/8
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5442645</u>	August 1995	Ugon	714/736
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5444779</u>	August 1995	Daniele	380/3
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5449895</u>	September 1995	Hecht et al.	235/494
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5449896</u>	September 1995	Hecht et al.	235/494
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5450493</u>	September 1995	Maher	380/30
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5453601</u>	September 1995	Rosen	380/24
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5453605</u>	September 1995	Hecht et al.	235/494
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5455407</u>	October 1995	Rosen	235/380
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5455861</u>	October 1995	Faucher et al.	380/9
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5455953</u>	October 1995	Russell	710/266
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5457746</u>	October 1995	Dolphin	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5458494</u>	October 1995	Krohn et al.	434/336
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5463565</u>	October 1995	Cookson et al.	711/113
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5473687</u>	December 1995	Lipscomb et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5473692</u>	December 1995	Davis	380/25
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5479509</u>	December 1995	Ugon	380/23
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5485622</u>	January 1996	Yamaki	713/200
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5491800</u>	February 1996	Goldsmith et al.	395/200.51
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5497479</u>	March 1996	Hornbuckle	463/29
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5497491</u>	March 1996	Mitchell et al.	709/303
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5499298</u>	March 1996	Narasimhalu et al.	380/25
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5504757</u>	April 1996	Cook et al.	370/468
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5504818</u>	April 1996	Okano	380/49
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5504837</u>	April 1996	Griffeth et al.	706/10
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5508913</u>	April 1996	Yamamoto et al.	705/37
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5509070</u>	April 1996	Schull	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5513261</u>	April 1996	Maher	380/230
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5530235</u>	June 1996	Stefik et al.	235/492

<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5530752</u>	June 1996	Rubin	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5533123</u>	July 1996	Force et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5534975</u>	July 1996	Stefik et al.	399/1
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5535322</u>	July 1996	Hecht	705/1
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5539735</u>	July 1996	Moskowitz	370/42
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5539828</u>	July 1996	Davis	380/50
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5550971</u>	August 1996	Brunner et al.	707/3
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5557518</u>	September 1996	Rosen	380/24
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5557798</u>	September 1996	Skeen et al.	705/35
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5563946</u>	October 1996	Cooper et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5568552</u>	October 1996	Davis	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5572673</u>	November 1996	Shurts	713/200
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5592549</u>	January 1997	Nagel et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5606609</u>	February 1997	Houser et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5613004</u>	March 1997	Cooperman et al.	380/28
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5621797</u>	April 1997	Rosen	380/24
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5629980</u>	May 1997	Stefik et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5633932</u>	May 1997	Davis et al.	380/25
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5634012</u>	May 1997	Stefik et al.	705/39
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5636292</u>	June 1997	Rhoads	382/232
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5638443</u>	June 1997	Stefik	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5640546</u>	June 1997	Gopinath et al.	395/551
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5655077</u>	August 1997	Jones et al.	713/201
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5687236</u>	November 1997	Moskowitz et al.	380/28
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5689587</u>	November 1997	Bender et al.	382/232
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5710834</u>	January 1998	Rhoads	382/232
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5715403</u>	February 1998	Stefik	705/44
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5732398</u>	March 1998	Tagawa	705/5
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5740549</u>	April 1998	Reily et al.	705/14
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5745604</u>	April 1998	Rhoads	382/232
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5748763</u>	May 1998	Rhoads	382/115
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5748783</u>	May 1998	Rhoads	382/232
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5748960</u>	May 1998	Fischer	395/683
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5754849</u>	May 1998	Dyer et al.	705/14
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5757914</u>	May 1998	McManis	380/23
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5768426</u>	June 1998	Rhoads	382/232
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5774872</u>	June 1998	Golden et al.	705/19
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5819263</u>	October 1998	Bromley et al.	707/3

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

FOREIGN-PAT-NO	PUBN-DATE	COUNTRY	US-CL
9 004 79	December 1984	BE	
0 84 441	July 1983	EP	
0128672	December 1984	EP	
0135422	March 1985	EP	
0180460	May 1986	EP	
0 370 146	November 1988	EP	
0399822A2	November 1990	EP	
0421409A2	April 1991	EP	
0 469 864 A2	February 1992	EP	
0 565 314 A2	October 1993	EP	
0 593 305 A2	April 1994	EP	
0 651 554 A1	May 1995	EP	
0 668 695 A2	August 1995	EP	
0 696 798 A1	February 1996	EP	
0 695 985 A1	February 1996	EP	
0715246A1	June 1996	EP	
0715247A1	June 1996	EP	
0715245A1	June 1996	EP	
0715243A1	June 1996	EP	
0715244A1	June 1996	EP	
0 725 376	August 1996	EP	
749081	December 1996	EP	
0 778 513 A2	June 1997	EP	
0 795 873 A2	September 1997	EP	
3803982A1	January 1990	DE	
57-726	May 1982	JP	
62-241061	October 1987	JP	
64-68835	March 1989	JP	
1-068835	March 1989	JP	
2-242352	September 1990	JP	
2-247763	October 1990	JP	
2-294855	December 1990	JP	
4-369068	December 1992	JP	
5-181734	July 1993	JP	
5-268415	October 1993	JP	
5-257783	October 1993	JP	
6-175794	June 1994	JP	
6225059	August 1994	JP	
6-215010	August 1994	JP	
7-056794	March 1995	JP	
7-084852	March 1995	JP	
7-141138	June 1995	JP	
7-200317	August 1995	JP	
7-200492	August 1995	JP	
7-244639	September 1995	JP	
8-137795	May 1996	JP	
8-152990	June 1996	JP	

8-185298	July 1996	JP
2136175	September 1984	GB
2264796	September 1993	GB
2294348	April 1996	GB
2295947	June 1996	GB
WO95/14289	0000	WO
WO 8502310	May 1985	WO
WO 85/03584	August 1985	WO
WO 90/02382	March 1990	WO
WO 92/06438	April 1992	WO
WO 92/22870	December 1992	WO
WO 93/01550	January 1993	WO
WO 94/01821	January 1994	WO
WO 94/03859	February 1994	WO
WO 94/06103	March 1994	WO
WO 94/22266	September 1994	WO
WO 94/27406	November 1994	WO
WO 96/00963	January 1996	WO
WO 96/06503	February 1996	WO
WO 96/05698	February 1996	WO
WO 96/03835	February 1996	WO
WO 96/13013	May 1996	WO
WO 96/21192	July 1996	WO
WO 97/03423	January 1997	WO
WO 9707656	March 1997	WO
WO97/32251	September 1997	WO
WO 97/48203	December 1997	WO

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Avery et al, Recommender Systems For Evaluating Computer Messages, Communications of the ACM, pp. 88-89 (Mar. 1997).

Balabanovic et al, Content-based, Collaborative Recommendation, Communications of the ACM, pp. 66-72 (Mar. 1997).

Bruner, PowerAgent, NetBot help advertisers reach Internet shoppers, Advertising Age (not later than Aug. 13, 1997).

Clark, Ad Service Gives Cash Back (CNET News.com Aug. 4, 1997).

Dempsey et al, The Warwick Metadata Workshop: A Framework For the Deployment of Resource Description (D-Lib Magazine, Jul./Aug. 1996).

EDS Provides PowerAgent with Internet Services to Support One-to-One Marketing (PowerAgent Inc. 1997, no later than Aug. 13, 1997).

Haar, Power Agent Launches Commercial Service (Interactive Week Aug. 4, 1997).

Harman, Modern Factor Analysis (3d Ed. Revised, U. of Chicago Press 1976).

Hearst, Interfaces For Searching the Web Scientific American pp. 68-72 (Mar. 1997).

Holt, Start-up Promises User Confidentiality in Web Marketing Service (Infoworld Publishing Co. Aug. 4, 1997).

Jiang et al, A Concept-Based Approach to Retrieval From An Electronic Industrial Directory, International Journal of Electronic Commerce vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 51-72 (Fall 1996).

Kautz, Referral Web: Combining Social Networks and Collaborative Filtering, Communications of the ACM, pp. 63-65 (Mar. 1997).

Konstan et al, Applying Collaborative Filtering to Usenet News, Communications of the ACM, pp. 77-87 (Mar. 1997).

Lagoze, The Warwick Framework: A Container Architecture For Diverse Sets of Metadata (D-Lib Magazine, Jul./Aug. 1996).

Lynch, Searching the Internet Scientific American pp. 52-56 (Mar. 1997).

MacLachan, PowerAgent Debuts Spam-Free Marketing (TechWire Aug. 13, 1997).

Mossberg, Threats to Privacy On-Line Become More Worrisome, p. B1 Wall Street Journal (Oct. 24, 1996).

Negroponte, Electronic Word of Mouth, Wired p. 218 (Oct. 1996).

PowerAgent Introduces First Internet 'Infomediary' to Empower and Protect Consumers

(PowerAgent Inc., 1997 (no later than Aug. 13, 1997)).
PowerAgent Introduces First Internet 'Infomediary' to Empower and Protect Consumers (PowerAgent Inc. Aug. 4, 1997).
PowerAgent Introduces First Internet 'Infomediary' to Empower and Protect Consumers (Techmall.com, Aug. 4, 1997).
PowerAgent Introduces First Internet 'Infomediary' to Empower and Protect Consumers (Tech Talk Aug. 4, 1997).
PowerAgent Introduces Internet's First True 1:1 Marketing Network (PowerAgent Inc., Aug. 4, 1997).
Proper Use of Consumer Information on the Internet, White Paper (PowerAgent Inc., Jun. 1997).
Resnick et al, Recommender Systems, Communications of the ACM, pp. 56-58 (Mar. 1997).
Resnick, Filtering the Information On the Internet Scientific American pp. 62-64 (Mar. 1997).
Rothstein, Making the Internet Come to You, through 'push' technology New York Times, p. D5 (Jan. 20, 1997).
Rucker et al, Personalized Navigation For the Web, Communications of the ACM, pp. 73-75 (Mar. 1997).
Schurmann, Pattern Classification (John Wiley & Sons 1996).
Special Report, The Internet: Bringing Order From Chaos, Scientific American pp. 48, 50 ((Mar. 1997).
Stefik, Introduction to Knowledge Systems, pp. 543-607 (Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, 1995).
Stefik, Trusted Systems Scientific American pp. 78-81 (Mar. 1997).
Terveen et al, A System For Sharing Recommendations, Communications of the ACM, pp. 59-62 (Mar. 1997).
This Web Agent Knows What You Like, Business Week, p. 142E (Sep. 23, 1996).
Voight, Beyond the Banner, Wired pp. 196, 200, 204 (Dec. 1996).
What is Firefly? (Firefly Network Inc. 1995, 1996).
Agent Information Q&A Sheet, <http://www.digital-watermark.com/>, Copyright 1995, The Dice Company, 7 pages.
Arneke, David, et al., News Release, AT&T, Jan. 9, 1995, AT&T encryption system protects information services, 1 page.
AT&T Technology, vol. 9, No. 4, New Products, Systems and Services, pp. 16-19.
Baggett, Claude, Cable's Emerging Role in the Information Superhighway, Cable Labs, 13 slides.
Barassi, Theodore Sedgwick, Esq., The Cybernotary: Public Key Registration and Certificaiton and Authentication of International Legal Transactions, 4 pages.
Barnes, Hugh, memo to Henry LaMuth, subject: George Gilder articles, May 31, 1994.
Bart, Dan, Comments in the Matter of Public Hearing and Request for Comments on the International Aspects of the National Information Infrastructure, Aug. 12, 1994.
Baum, Michael, Worldwide Electronic Commerce: Law, Policy and Controls Conference, program details, Nov. 11, 1993.
Bisbey, II et al., Encapsulation: An Approach to Operating System Security, Oct. 1973, pp. 666-675.
Blom et al., Encryption Methods in Data Networks, Ericsson Technics, No. 2, 1978, Stockholm, Sweden.
Cable Television and America's Telecommunications Infrastructure, National Cable Television Association, Apr. 1993.
Caruso, Technology, Digital Commerce 2 plans for watermarks, which can bind proof of authorship to electronic works, New York Times (Aug. 1995).
Choudhury, et al., Copyright Protection for Electronic Publishing over Computer Networks, AT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974 (Jun. 1994).
Codercard, Spec Sheet--Basic Coder Subsystem, No date given.
Communications of the ACM, Intelligent Agents, Jul. 1994, vol. 37, No. 7.
Communications of the ACM, Jun. 1996, vol. 39, No. 6.
Computer Systems Policy Project (CSSP), Perspectives on the National Information Infrastructure: Ensuring Interoperability (Feb. 1994), Feb. 1994.
Cunningham, Donna, et al., News Release, AT&T, Jan. 31, 1995, AT&T, VLSI Technology join to improve info highway security, 3 pages.
Data Sheet, About the Digital Notary Service, Surety Technologies, Inc., 1994-95, 6 pages.
Denning et al., Data Security, 11 Computing Surveys No. 3, Sep. 1979.
Diffie, Whitfield and Martin E. Hellman, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, vol. 22, No. 6, Nov. 1976, New Directions in Cryptography, pp. 644-651.
Diffie, Whitfield and Martin E. Hellman, Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 67, No. 3, Mar. 1979, Privacy and Authentication: An Introduction to Cryptography, pp. 397-427.

Digest of Papers, VLSI: New Architectural Horizons, Feb. 1980, Preventing Software Piracy With Crypto-Microprocessors, Robert M. Best, pp. 466-469.

DiscStore (Electronic Publishing Resources 1991).

Document from Internet, cgi@ncsa.uiuc.edu, CGI Common Gateway Interface, 1 page, 1996.

DSP56000/DSP56001 Digital Signal Processor User's Manual, Motorola, 1990, p. 2-2.

Dusse, Stephen R. and Burton S. Kaliski A Cryptographic Library for the Motorola 56000 in Damgard, I. M., Advances in Cryptology-Proceedings Eurocrypt 90, Springer-Verlag, 1991, pp. 230-244.

Dyson, Esther, Intellectual Value, Wired Magazine, Jul. 1995, pp. 136-141 and 182-184.

Effector Online vol. 6 No. 6, A Publication of the Electronic Frontier Foundation, 8 pages, Dec. 6, 1993.

EIA and TIA White Paper on National Information Infrastructure, published by the Electronic Industries Association and the Telecommunications Industry Association, Washington, D.C., no date.

Electronic Currency Requirements, XIWT (Cross Industry Working Group), no date.

Electronic Publishing Resources Inc. Protecting Electronically Published Properties Increasing Publishing Profits (Electronic Publishing Resources 1991).

First CII Honeywell Bull International Symposium on Computer Security and Confidentiality, Jan. 26-28, 1981, Conference Text, pp. 1-21.

Framework for National Information Infrastructure Services, Draft, U.S. Department of Commerce, Jul. 1994.

Framework for National Information Infrastructure Services, NIST, Jul. 1994, 12 slides.

Garcia, D. Linda, testimony before a hearing on science, space and technology, May 26, 1994.

Green paper, Intellectual Property and the National Information Infrastructure, a Preliminary Draft of the Report of the Working Group on Intellectual Property Rights, Jul. 1994.

Greguras, Fred, Softic Symposium '95, Copyright Clearances and Moral Rights, Nov. 30, 1995 (as updated Dec. 11, 1995), 3 pages.

Guillou, L.: Smart Cards and Conditional Access, pp. 480-490 Advances in Cryptography, Proceedings of EuroCrypt 84 (Beth et al, Ed., Springer-Verlag 1985).

Hofmann, Jud, Interfacing the NII to User Homes, Electronic Industries Association, Consumer Electronic Bus Committee, 14 slides, no date.

HOTJAVA.TM.: The Security Story, 4 pages, no date.

IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, Multimedia Mixed Object Envelopes Supporting a Graduated Fee Scheme via Encryption, vol. 37, No. 03, Mar. 1994, Armonk, NY.

IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, Transformer Rules for Software Distribution Mechanism-Support Products, vol. 37, No. 04B, Apr. 1994, Armonk, NY.

IISP Break Out Session Report for Group No. 3, Standards Development and Tracking System, no date.

Information Infrastructure Standards Panel: NII 'The Information Superhighway', Nations Bank--HGDeal--ASC X9, 15 pages, no date.

Invoice? What is an Invoice? Business Week, Jun. 10, 1996.

JAVASOFT, Frequently Asked Questions--Applet Security, What's Java.TM.? Products and Services, Java/Soft News, Developer's Cornier, Jun. 7, 1996, 8 pages.

Kelly, Kevin, Whole Earth Review, E-Money, pp. 40-59, Summer 1993.

Kent, Protecting Externally Supplied Software In Small Computers (MIT/LCS/TR-255 Sep. 1980).

Kohntopp, M., Sag's durch die Blume, Apr. 1996, marit@schulung.netuse.de.

Kristol et al., Anonymous Internet Mercantile Protocol, AT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey, Draft: Mar. 17, 1994.

Lanza, Mike, electronic mail, George Gilder's Fifth Article--Digital Darkhorse--Newspapers, Feb. 21, 1994.

Levy, Steven, Wired, E-Money, That's What I Want, 10 pages, Dec. 1994.

Low et al., Anonymous Credit Cards and its Collusion Analysis, AT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey, Oct. 10, 1994.

Low et al., Anonymous Credit Cards, AT&T Bell Laboratories, Proceedings of the 2nd ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security, Fairfax, Virginia, Nov. 2-4, 1994.

Low et al., Document Marking and Identification using both Line and Word Shifting, AT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey, Jul. 29, 1994.

Maxemchuk, Electronic Document Distribution, AT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974.

Micro Card--Micro Card Technologies, Inc., Dallas, Texas, No date given.

Milbrandt, E., Stenography Info and Archive, 1996.

Mori, Ryoichi and Masaji Kawahara, The Transactions of the Eieice, V,

Superdistribution: The Concept and the Architecture, E73 (Jul. 1990), No. 7, Tokyo, Japan.

Negroponte, Nicholas, Telecommunications, Some Thoughts on Likely and expected Communications scenarios: A Rebuttal, pp. 41-42, Jan. 1993.

Neumann, et al., A Provably Secure Operating System: The System, Its Applications, and Proofs, Computer Science Laboratory Report CSL-116, Second Edition, SRI International (May 1980).

News Release, Premenos Announces Templar 2.0--Next Generation Software for Secure Internet EDI, webmaster@templar.net, 1 page, Jan. 17, 1996.

News Release, The Document Company Xerox, Xerox Announces Software Kit for Creating Working Documents with Dataglyphs, Nov. 6, 1995, Minneapolis, MN, 13 pages.

News Release, The White House, Office of the President, Background on the Administration's Telecommunications Policy Reform Initiative, Jan. 11, 1994.

NII, Architecture Requirements, XIWT, no date.

Open System Environment Architectural Framework for National Information Infrastructure Services and Standards, in Support of National Class Distributed Systems, Distributed System Engineering Program Sponsor Group, Draft 1.0, Aug. 5, 1994.

Pelton, Dr. Joseph N., Telecommunications, Why Nicholas Negroponte is Wrong About the Future of Telecommunication, pp. 35-40, Jan. 1993.

Portland Software's ZipLock, Internet information, Copyright Portland Software 1996-1997, 12 pages.

Premenos Corp. White Paper: The Future of Electronic Commerce, A Supplement to Midrange Systems, Internet webmaster@premenos.com, 4 pages, no date.

Press Release, National Semiconductor and EPR Partner For Information Metering/Data Security Cards (Mar. 4, 1994).

Rankine, G., Thomas--A Complete Single-Chip RSA Device, Advances in Cryptography, Proceedings of Crypto 86, pp. 480-487 (A.M. Odlyzko Ed., Springer-Verlag 1987).

Reilly, Arthur K., Standards committee T1-Telecommunications, Input to the 'International Telecommunications Hearings,' Panel 1: Component Technologies of the NII/GII, no date.

ROI (Personal Library Software, 1987 or 1988).

ROI-Solving Critical Electronic Publishing Problems (Personal Library Software, 1987 or 1988).

Rose, Lance, Cyberspace and the Legal Matrix: Laws or Confusion?, 1991.

Rosenthal, Steve, New Media, Interactive Network: Viewers Get Involved, pp. 30-31, Dec. 1992.

Rosenthal, Steve, New Media, Interactive TV: The Gold Rush Is On, pp. 27-29, Dec. 1992.

Rosenthal, Steve, New Media, Mega Channels, pp. 36-46, Sep. 1993.

Schlossstein, Steven, International Economy, America: The G7's Comeback Kid, Jun./Jul. 1993.

Scnaumueler-Bichl et al., A Method of Software Protection Based on the Use of Smart Cards and Cryptographic Techniques, No date given.

Serving the Community: A Public-Interest Vision of the National Information Infrastructure, Computer Professionals for Social Responsibility, Executive Summary, no date.

Shear, Solutions for CD-ROM Pricing and Data Security Problems, pp. 530-533, CD ROM Yearbook 1988-1989 (Microsoft Press 1988 or 1989).

Smith et al., Signed Vector Timestamps: A Secure Protocol for Partial Order Time, CMU-93-116, School of Computer Science Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Oct. 1991; version of Feb. 1993.

Stefik, Internet Dreams: Archetypes, Myths, and Metaphors, Letting Loose the Light: Igniting Commerce in Electronic Publication, pp. 219-253, (1996) Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Stefik, Mark, Letting Loose the Light, Igniting Commerce in Electronic Publication, (1994, 1995) Palo Alto, California.

Stephenson, Tom, Advanced Imaging, The Info Infrastructure Initiative: Data SuperHighways and You, pp. 73-74, May 1993.

Sterling, Bruce, Literary freeware: Not for Commercial Use, remarks at Computers, Freedom and Privacy Conference IV, Chicago, Mar. 26, 1994.

Struif, Bruno The Use of Chipcards for Electronic Signatures and Encryption in: Proceedings for the 1989 Conference on VSLI and Computer Peripherals, IEEE Computer Society Press, 1989, pp. 4/155-4/158.

Suida, Karl, Mapping New Applications Onto New Technologies, Security Services in Telecommunications Networks, Mar. 8-10, 1988, Zurich.

Templar Overview,: Premenos, Internet info@templar.net, 4 pages.

Templar Software and Services: Secure, Reliable, Standards-Based EDI Over the Internet, Premenos, Internet info@templar.net, 1 page, no date.

The 1:1 Future of the Electronic Marketplace: Return to a Hunting and Gathering Society, 2 pages, no date.

The Benefits of ROI For Database Protection and Usage Based Billing (Personal Library Software, 1987 or 1988).

The New Alexandria No. 1, Alexandria Institute, pp. 1-12, Jul.-Aug. 1986.

Tygar et al., Cryptography: It's Not Just For Electronic Mail Anymore, CMU-CS-93-107, School of Computer Science Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Mar. 1, 1993.

Tygar et al., Dyad: A System for Using Physically Secure Coprocessors, School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213 (undated).

Tygar et al., Dyad: A System for Using Physically Secure Coprocessors, School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213 (May 1991).

Valovic T., Telecommunications, The Role of Computer Networking in the Emerging Virtual Marketplace, pp. 40-44, no date.

Weber, Dr. Robert, Digital Rights Management Technologies, A Report to the International Federation of Reproduction Rights Organisations, Oct. 1995, pp1-49.

Weber, Dr. Robert, Digital Rights Management Technologies, Oct. 1995, 21 pages.

Weber, Metering Technologies for Digital Intellectual Property, A Report to the International Federation of Reproduction Rights Organisations, pp 1-29; Oct. 1994, Boston, MA, USA.

Weder, Adele, Life on the Infohighway, 4 pages, no date.

Weingart, Physical Security for the :ABYSS System, IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 (1987).

Weitzner, Daniel J., A Statement on EFF's Open Platform Campaign as of Nov., 1993, 3 pages.

WEPIN Store, Stenography (Hidden Writing) (Common Law 1995).

White, ABYSS: A Trusted Architecture for Software Protection, IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 (1987).

Wired 1.02, Is Advertising Really dead?, Part 2, 1994.

World Wide Web FAQ, How can I put an access counter on my home page?, 1 page, 1996.

XIWT Cross Industry Working Team, 5 pages, Jul. 1994.

Yee, Using Secure Coprocessors, CMU-CS-94-149, School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA15213, no date.

Yellin, F. Low Level Security in Java, 8 pages, no date.

ART-UNIT: 271

PRIMARY-EXAMINER: Voeltz; Emanuel Todd

ASSISTANT-EXAMINER: Dixon; Thomas A

ABSTRACT:

Rights management information is used at least in part in a matching, narrowcasting, classifying and/or selecting process. A matching and classification utility system comprising a kind of Commerce Utility System is used to perform the matching, narrowcasting, classifying and/or selecting. The matching and classification utility system may match, narrowcast, classify and/or select people and/or things, non-limiting examples of which include software objects. The Matching and Classification Utility system may use any pre-existing classification schemes, including at least some rights management information and/or other qualitative and/or parameter data indicating and/or defining classes, classification systems, class hierarchies, category schemes, class assignments, category assignments, and/or class membership. The Matching and Classification Utility may also use at least some rights management information together with any artificial intelligence, expert system, statistical, computational, manual, or any other means to define new classes, class hierarchies, classification systems, category schemes, and/or assign persons, things, and/or groups of persons and/or things to at least one class.

220 Claims, 98 Drawing figures

L5: Entry 1 of 3

File: USPT

Aug 29, 2000

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6112181 A

TITLE: Systems and methods for matching, selecting, narrowcasting, and/or classifying based on rights management and/or other information

US PATENT NO. (1):
6112181

Brief Summary Text (37):

If, for example, you have an auto repair newsletter and you want to create an article containing information on auto repair of Ford Bronco vehicles, you may wish to look for detailed, three dimensional, step-by-step "blow-up" mechanical images of Ford Bronco internal components. Perhaps these are available from hundreds of sources (including from private individuals using new, sophisticated rendering graphics programs, as well as from engineering graphics firms). Given the nature of your newsletter, you have decided that your use of such images should cost you no more than one penny to redistribute per copy in quantities of several thousand--this low cost being particularly important since you will have numerous other costs per issue for acquiring rights to other useful digital information products which you reuse and, for example, enhance in preparing a particular issue. You therefore wish to search and match against rights management rules associated with such products--non-limiting examples of which include:

Brief Summary Text (55):

On the Internet, a digital "store" is likely to be many stores with vast resources integrating products from many parties. If you were limited to conventional classification and matching mechanisms, you would be unable to sift through all the material to identify the commercially acceptable, i.e., an item representing the right information, at the right price, providing license rights that match your interests. Certainly, if each digital package looks the same, you are at a loss in making reasonable decisions. You can't tell one from another just by looking at it.

Brief Summary Text (111):

Looking at FIG. 10, Jill may have used her computer last week to look at information about baseball, volcanoes and Jeeps. With Jill's permission, the electronic matchmaker can employ a protected processing environment 154 (schematically shown here as a tamper-resistant "chip" within the computer--but it can be hardware-based, software-based, or a combination of hardware and software) to look at the computer's history records and use them to help match Jill up with other kinds of things she is or may be interested in. For example, the electronic matchmaker can let an electronic publisher or other provider or information gatherer (e.g., market survey conductor, etc.) know that Jill is interested in team sports, geology and sports utility vehicles with or without more revealing detail--as managed by Jill's choices and/or rights management rules and controls executing in her computer's protected processing environment 154. The provider can send information to Jill--either automatically or at Jill's request--about other, related things that Jill may be interested in.

Brief Summary Text (116):

The present inventions handle many kinds of important issues and addresses the widest range of information and rights and automation possibilities. For example, the present inventions are capable of handling (but are not limited to):

Brief Summary Text (165):

Provides fundamentally important commercial and societal rules based filtering to identify desired electronic information and/or electronic information containers

through the use of classification structures, profiling technology, and matching mechanisms that harness the vast information opportunities in cyberspace by matching the information needs of users against commercial and/or societal rules related to the use of available information resources, including, for example, commercial and/or societal consequences of digital information use imposed as provider requirements and specified through the use of, and enforced by the use of, a trusted rights management system such as described in "Ginter et al".

Brief Summary Text (371):

allowing content provider modification over time of rules and controls to reflect sales, new pricing, special discounts, etc.--while limiting this right by rules and controls provided by other parties having more senior rights;

Detailed Description Text (291):

The matching and classification utility 900 takes the usage information and other rights management information received from the VDE nodes and/or other information sources and may create at least one category and may assign at least one node and/or user to a category and/or class. In FIG. 47, the matching and classification utility 900 sends a VDE container 2002 to content provider 2010 with information showing the classes of content used by one or more nodes and/or users along with a request that the provider 2010 send similar content back to one or more users 2001. At least one content provider 2010 then sends at least one VDE container 2004 to user A with content and/or information about available content that may be of interest to user A given the history of content usage as reflected in VDE audit records and/or other rights management information. In this "push" example, classes of content or information about available content may be pushed automatically from (a class of) content providers to one or more members of class of users and/or nodes. Consequently, users do not have to search as intensely, if at all, for content of interest to them.

Detailed Description Text (295):

Although the matching and classification utility 900 and/or content provider may send "more of the same," in another example the present inventions support providers at least occasionally sending content more distantly related to the user's apparent interests to determine if the user's circle of interest might be a little larger than that indicated by past usage and other, related rights management information alone.

Detailed Description Text (316):

In another example, the matching and classification utility 900 may receive content and/or rights management information from providers and go on to create classes of content and/or content providers in which the classes may be partly defined using rights management data. Content on one class may, among other things, be distinguished from content in another class by price, payment methods, usage opportunities (e.g., available for printing, available for viewing pay-per-use), usage consequences, and/or specific permissions. The matching and classification utility 900 may subsequently send a communication, perhaps in a VDE container, to providers indicating that they send content in one or more specified classes to at least one DBN server.

Detailed Description Text (317):

Non-limiting example FIG. 48 shows that the DBN 2100 may consist of video 2202 and/or audio 2203 content providers who send certain categories of video and/or audio content 2206 to DBN servers 2204(1)-2204(n) based on the categories of content each server may specialize in, which, in turn, may be determined at least in part on frequency of usage and/or other rights management information sent in VDE containers 2213 to the matching and classification utility 900, or to a usage clearinghouse 300 and then to a matching and classification utility 900. (In another example, other information may be used as the basis of classification, matching, and selection.) The matching and classification utility 900 sends VDE containers 2212 to content sources indicating that they should send content in specific categories 2206 to specific DBN servers 2204. In turn, each DBN server 2204(1)-2204(n) delivers video 2208 and/or audio 2209 in VDE containers to parties interested in such content. In another example, a VDE container may hold both video and audio and/or any other content type.

Detailed Description Text (350):

In this example, a content provider 2702 sends a VDE container 2704 to a secure directory services 600 asking whether the service can provide a list of individuals

in class "AF." The requested class could be any class defined by one or more attributes and may be based on usage profiles that include ~~rights~~ management ~~information~~, non-exhaustive examples of which include price, payment methods accepted, permitted operations, meters, and privacy controls.

End of Result Set [Generate Collection](#)

L3: Entry 1 of 1

File: USPT

Feb 3, 1998

US-PAT-NO: 5715403
DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 5715403 A

TITLE: System for controlling the distribution and use of digital works having attached usage rights where the usage rights are defined by a usage rights grammar

DATE-ISSUED: February 3, 1998

INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY
Stefik; Mark J.	Woodside	CA		

ASSIGNEE-INFORMATION:

NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE	COUNTRY	TYPE CODE
Xerox Corporation	Stamford	CT			02

APPL-NO: 08/ 344041 [PALM]
DATE FILED: November 23, 1994

INT-CL: [06] G06 F 1/14, G06 F 13/372

US-CL-ISSUED: 395/244; 395/188.01, 395/800, 380/23
US-CL-CURRENT: 705/44; 705/54, 705/57, 709/229, 713/202

FIELD-OF-SEARCH: 395/800, 395/600, 395/700, 395/775, 395/650, 395/182.13, 395/608, 395/183.14, 395/201, 395/569, 395/825, 395/712, 395/187.01, 395/188.01, 395/244, 395/217, 380/4, 380/15, 380/18, 380/20, 380/25, 380/24, 380/23, 380/30, 364/DIG.1, 364/DIG.2, 364/41R, 340/825.33, 340/825.34, 348/3, 455/4.1, 455/5.1, 455/26.1

PRIOR-ART-DISCLOSED:

U. S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

	PAT-NO	ISSUE-DATE	PATENTEE-NAME	US-CL
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>3790700</u>	February 1974	Callais et al.	348/3
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4529870</u>	July 1985	Chaum	235/380
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4658093</u>	April 1987	Hellman	380/25
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4891838</u>	January 1990	Faber	380/25
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4924378</u>	May 1990	Hershey et al.	364/200
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4932054</u>	June 1990	Chou et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4937863</u>	June 1990	Robert et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4953209</u>	August 1990	Ryder, Sr. et al.	380/23
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4961142</u>	October 1990	Elliott et al.	364/408
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>4977594</u>	December 1990	Shear	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5010571</u>	April 1991	Katznelson	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5014234</u>	May 1991	Edwards, Jr.	364/900
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5023907</u>	June 1991	Johnson et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5047928</u>	September 1991	Wiedemer	364/406
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5050213</u>	September 1991	Shear	380/25
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5058164</u>	October 1991	Elmer et al.	380/50
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5103476</u>	April 1992	Waite et al.	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5113519</u>	May 1992	Johnson et al.	395/600
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5138712</u>	August 1992	Corbin	395/700
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5146499</u>	September 1992	Geffrotin	380/23
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5159182</u>	October 1992	Eisele	235/492
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5191193</u>	March 1993	Le Roux	235/379
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5204897</u>	April 1993	Wyman	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5247575</u>	September 1993	Sprague et al.	380/9
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5255106</u>	October 1993	Castro	380/18
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5260999</u>	November 1993	Wyman	380/4
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5291596</u>	March 1994	Mita	395/608
<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>5339091</u>	August 1994	Yamazaki et al.	345/104

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

FOREIGN-PAT-NO	PUBN-DATE	COUNTRY	US-CL
0332707	September 1989	EP	
2236604	April 1991	GB	
WO9220022	November 1992	WO	
9301550	January 1993	WO	

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Press Release From Electronic Publishing Resources, Inc. (EPR) entitled "National Semiconductor and EPR Partner for Information Metering/Data Security Cards", dated

Mar. 4, 1994.

Weber, R., "Digital Rights Management Technology", Oct. 1995.

European Search Report for Corresponding European Application 95308417.5.

U. Flasche et al., Decentralized Processing of Documents, Comput. & Graphics, vol. 10, No. 2, 1986, pp. 119-131.

R. Mori et al., Superdistribution: The Concept and the Architecture, The Transactions of the IEICE, vol. E 73, No. 7, 1990, Tokyo, JP, pp. 1133-1146.

Weber, R., "Metering Technologies For Digital Intellectual Property," A Report to the International Federation of Reproduction Rights Organizations, Oct. 1994, pp. 1-29.

Clark, P.C. and Hoffman, L.J., "Bits: A Smartcard Protected Operating System," Communications of the ACM, Nov. 1994, vol. 37, No. 11, pp. 66-70, and 94.

Ross, P.E., "Data guard", Forbes, Jun. 6, 1994, p. 101.

Saigh, W.K., "Knowledge is Sacred," Video Pocket/Page Reader Systems, Ltd., 1992.

Kahn, R.E., "Deposit, Registration And Recordation In An Electronic Copyright Management System," Corporation for National Research Initiatives, Virginia, Aug. 1992, pp. 1-19.

Hilts, P., Mutter, J., and Taylor, S., "Books While U Wait," Publishers Weekly, Jan. 3, 1994, pp. 48-50.

Strattner, A., "Cash register on a chip" may revolutionize software pricing and distribution; Wave Systems Corp., Computer Shopper. Copyright, Apr. 1994, vol. 14; No. 4; p. 62; ISSN 0886-0556.

O'Conner, M.A., "New distribution option for electronic publishers; iOpener data encryption and metering system for CD-ROM use; Column," CD-ROM Professional, Copyright, Mar. 1994, vol. 7; No. 2; p. 134; ISSN: 1049-0833.

Willett, S., "Metered PCs: Is your system watching you?"; Wave Systems beta tests new technology, InfoWorld, Copyright, May 2, 1994, p. 84.

Linn, R.J., "Copyright and Information Services in the Context of the National Research and Education Network.sup.1," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 9-20.

erritt, Jr., H.H., "Permissions Headers and Contract Law," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 27-48.

Upthegrove, L., and Roberts, R., "Intellectual Property Header Descriptors: A Dynamic Approach," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 63-66.

Sirbu, M.A., "Internet Billing Service Design and Prototype Implementation," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 67-80.

Simmel, S.S., and Godard, I., "Metering and Licensing of Resources: Kala's General Purpose Approach," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 81-110.

Kahn, R.E., "Deposit, Registration and Recordation in an Electronic Copyright Management System," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 111-120.

Tygar, J.D., and Bennet, Y., "Dyad: A System for Using Physically Secure Coprocessors," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 121-152.

Griswold, G.N., "A Method for Protecting Copyright on Networks," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 169-178.

Nelson, T.H., "A Publishing and Royalty Model for Networked Documents," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 257-259.

ART-UNIT: 232

PRIMARY-EXAMINER: Pan; Daniel H.

ABSTRACT:

A system for controlling use and distribution of digital works. The present invention allows the owner of a digital work to attach usage rights to their work. The usage rights define how the individual digital work may be used and distributed. Instances of usage rights are defined using a flexible and extensible usage rights grammar. Conceptually, a right in the usage rights grammar is a label associated with a predetermined behavior and conditions to exercising the right. The behavior of a usage right is embodied in a predetermined set of usage transaction steps. The usage transaction steps further check all conditions which must be satisfied before the right may be exercised. These usage transaction steps define a protocol for requesting the exercise of a right and the carrying out of a right.

28 Claims, 20 Drawing figures

End of Result Set

 Generate Collection

L3: Entry 1 of 1

File: USPT

Feb 3, 1998

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 5715403 A

TITLE: System for controlling the distribution and use of digital works having attached usage rights where the usage rights are defined by a usage rights grammar

US PATENT NO. (1):
5715403

Brief Summary Text (10):

A fundamental issue facing the publishing and information industries as they consider electronic publishing is how to prevent the unauthorized and unaccounted distribution or usage of electronically published materials. Electronically published materials are typically distributed in a digital form and recreated on a computer based system having the capability to recreate the materials. Audio and video recordings, software, books and multimedia works are all being electronically published. Companies in these industries receive royalties for each accounted for delivery of the materials, e.g. the sale of an audio CD at a retail outlet. Any unaccounted distribution of a work results in an unpaid royalty (e.g. copying the audio recording CD to another digital medium.)

Brief Summary Text (12):

The most straightforward way to curb unaccounted distribution is to prevent unauthorized copying and transmission. For existing materials that are distributed in digital form, various safeguards are used. In the case of software, copy protection schemes which limit the number of copies that can be made or which corrupt the output when copying is detected have been employed. Another scheme causes software to become disabled after a predetermined period of time has lapsed. A technique used for workstation based software is to require that a special hardware device must be present on the workstation in order for the software to run, e.g., see U.S. Pat. No. 4,932,054 entitled "Method and Apparatus for Protecting Computer Software Utilizing Coded Filter Network in Conjunction with an Active Coded Hardware Device." Such devices are provided with the software and are commonly referred to as dongles.

Brief Summary Text (24):

A system for controlling use and distribution of digital works is disclosed. A digital work is any written, aural, graphical or video based work that has been translated to or created in a digital form, and which can be recreated using suitable rendering means such as software programs. The present invention allows the owner of a digital work to attach usage rights to their work. The usage rights define how the digital work may be used and distributed. These usage rights become part of the digital work and are always honored.

Drawing Description Text (6):

FIG. 5 illustrates a contents file layout for a digital work as may be utilized in the currently preferred embodiment of the present invention.

Drawing Description Text (7):

FIG. 6 illustrates a contents file layout for an individual digital work of the digital work of FIG. 5 as may be utilized in the currently preferred embodiment of the present invention.

Drawing Description Text (9):

FIG. 8 illustrates a description tree for the contents file layout of the digital work illustrated in FIG. 5.

Detailed Description Text (46):

Herein the terms "digital work", "work" and "content" refer to any work that has been reduced to a digital representation. This would include any audio, video, text, or multimedia work and any accompanying interpreter (e.g. software) that may be required for recreating the work. The term composite work refers to a digital work comprised of a collection of other digital works. The term "usage rights" or "rights" is a term which refers to rights granted to a recipient of a digital work. Generally, these rights define how a digital work can be used and if it can be further distributed. Each usage right may have one or more specified conditions which must be satisfied before the right may be exercised. Appendix 1 provides a Glossary of the terms used herein.

Detailed Description Text (60):

FIG. 4b is an example of a computer system as a rendering system. A computer system may constitute a "multi-function" device since it may execute digital works (e.g. software programs) and display digital works (e.g. a digitized photograph). Logically, each rendering device can be viewed as having it's own repository, although only one physical repository is needed. Referring to FIG. 4b, a computer system 410 has contained therein a display/execution repository 411. The display/execution repository 411 is coupled to display device, 412 and execution device 413. The dashed box surrounding the computer system 410 represents a security boundary within which communications are assumed to be secure. The display/execution repository 411 is further coupled to a credit server 414 to report any fees to be billed for access to a digital work and a repository 415 for accessing digital works stored therein.

Detailed Description Text (63):

In the currently preferred embodiment, the file information for a digital work is divided into two files: a "contents" file and a "description tree" file. From the perspective of a repository, the "contents" file is a stream of addressable bytes whose format depends completely on the interpreter used to play, display or print the digital work. The description tree file makes it possible to examine the rights and fees for a work without reference to the content of the digital work. It should be noted that the term description tree as used herein refers to any type of acyclic structure used to represent the relationship between the various components of a digital work.

Detailed Description Text (70):

The approach for representing digital works by separating description data from content assumes that parts of a file are contiguous but takes no position on the actual representation of content. In particular, it is neutral to the question of whether content representation may take an object oriented approach. It would be natural to represent content as objects. In principle, it may be convenient to have content objects that include the billing structure and rights information that is represented in the d-blocks. Such variations in the design of the representation are possible and are

Detailed Description Text (72):

Digital works are stored in a repository as part of a hierarchical file system. Folders (also termed directories and sub-directories) contain the digital works as well as other folders. Digital works and folders in a folder are ordered in alphabetical order. The digital works are typed to reflect how the files are used. Usage rights can be attached to folders so that the folder itself is treated as a digital work. Access to the folder would then be handled in the same fashion as any other digital work. As will be described in more detail below, the contents of the folder are subject to their own rights. Moreover, file management rights may be attached to the folder which define how folder contents can be managed.

Detailed Description Text (85):

Physical integrity refers to the integrity of the physical devices themselves. Physical integrity applies both to the repositories and to the protected digital works. Thus, the higher security classes of repositories themselves may have sensors that detect when tampering is attempted on their secure cases. In addition to protection of the repository itself, the repository design protects access to the content of digital works. In contrast with the design of conventional magnetic and optical devices--such as floppy disks, CD-ROMs, and videotapes--repositories never allow non-trusted systems to access the works directly. A maker of generic computer systems cannot guarantee that their platform will not be used to make unauthorized

copies. The manufacturer provides generic capabilities for reading and writing information, and the general nature of the functionality of the general computing device depends on it. Thus, a copy program can copy arbitrary data. This copying issue is not limited to general purpose computers. It also arises for the unauthorized duplication of entertainment "software" such as video and audio recordings by magnetic recorders. Again, the functionality of the recorders depends on their ability to copy and they have no means to check whether a copy is authorized. In contrast, repositories prevent access to the raw data by general devices and can test explicit rights and conditions before copying or otherwise granting access. Information is only accessed by protocol between trusted repositories.

Detailed Description Text (87):

Behavioral integrity refers to the integrity in what repositories do. What repositories do is determined by the software that they execute. The integrity of the software is generally assured only by knowledge of its source. Restated, a user will trust software purchased at a reputable computer store but not trust software obtained off a random (insecure) server on a network. Behavioral integrity is maintained by requiring that repository software be certified and be distributed with proof of such certification, i.e. a digital certificate. The purpose of the certificate is to authenticate that the software has been tested by an authorized organization, which attests that the software does what it is supposed to do and that it does not compromise the behavioral integrity of a repository. If the digital certificate cannot be found in the digital work or the master repository which generated the certificate is not known to the repository receiving the software, then the software cannot be installed.

Detailed Description Text (93):

The storage system 1207 is further comprised of descriptor storage 1203 and content storage 1204. The description tree storage 1203 will store the description tree for the digital work and the content storage will store the associated content. The description tree storage 1203 and content storage 1204 need not be of the same type of storage medium, nor are they necessarily on the same physical device. So for example, the descriptor storage 1203 may be stored on a solid state storage (for rapid retrieval of the description tree information), while the content storage 1204 may be on a high capacity storage such as an optical disk.

Detailed Description Text (136):

The File management rights enable the making and restoring of backup copies in a way that respects usage rights, honoring the requirements of both the copy owner and the rights grantor and revenue owner. Backup copies of work descriptions (including usage rights and fee data) can be sent under appropriate protocol and usage rights control to other document repositories of sufficiently high security. Further rights permit organization of digital works into folders which themselves are treated as digital works and whose contents may be "hidden" from a party seeking to determine the contents of a repository.

Detailed Description Text (205):

The digital work can be played, transferred, or copied. Copies or transfers must be on repositories of security level 3 or greater. Copying requires the license License-123-ID issued to the copying repository. None of the rights require fees.

Detailed Description Text (273):

To prevent loss of data, the server should not delete any transferred digital work until receiving the final acknowledgement from the requester. But it also should not use the file. A well known way to deal with this situation is called "two-phase commit" or 2PC.

Detailed Description Text (300):

The requester records the digital work contents, data, usage rights, and loan period and stores the work.

Detailed Description Text (303):

The server updates the usage rights data for the digital work. This may preclude use of the work until it is returned from the loan. The user on the requester platform can now use the transferred copies of the digital work. A user accessing the original repository cannot use the digital work, unless there are copies remaining. What happens next depends on the order of events in time.

Detailed Description Text (345):

The server verifies that the contents file is available (i.e. a digital work corresponding to the request has been backed-up.) If it is not, it ends the transaction with an error.

Detailed Description Text (368):

The requester sends the server a message to initiate a Folder transaction. This message indicates the folder that is the root of the folder request, the version of the folder right for the transaction, an operation, and data. The operation can be one of create, rename, and move file. The data are the specifications required for the operation, such as a specification of a folder or digital work and a name.

Detailed Description Text (388):

An Edit transaction is a request to revise a digital work by copying, selecting and modifying portions of an existing digital work. This operation can actually change the contents of a digital work. The kinds of changes that are permitted depend on the process being used. Like the extraction operation, edit operates on portions of a digital work. In contrast with the extract operation, edit does not effect the rights or location of the work. It only changes the contents. The kinds of changes permitted are determined by the type specification of the processor specified in the rights. In the currently preferred embodiment, an edit transaction changes the work itself and does not make a new work. However, it would be a reasonable variation to cause a new copy of the work to be made.

Detailed Description Text (392):

The requester uses the process to change the contents of the digital work as desired. (For example, it can select and duplicate parts of it; combine it with other information; or compute functions based on the information. This can amount to editing text, music, or pictures or taking whatever other steps are useful in creating a derivative work.)

Detailed Description Text (398):

A usage right can specify an authorization-ID, which identifies an authorization object (a digital work in a file of a standard format) that the repository must have and which it must process. The authorization is given to the generic authorization (or ticket) server of the repository which begins to interpret the authorization.

Detailed Description Text (407):

An Install transaction is a request to install a digital work as runnable software on a repository. In a typical case, the requester repository is a rendering repository and the software would be a new kind or new version of a player. Also in a typical case, the software would be copied to file system of the requester repository before it is installed.

Detailed Description Text (410):

The requester extracts a copy of the digital certificate for the software. If the certificate cannot be found or the master repository for the certificate is not known to the requester, the transaction ends with an error.

Detailed Description Text (411):

The requester decrypts the digital certificate using the public key of the master repository, recording the identity of the supplier and creator, a key for decrypting the software, the compatibility information, and a tamper-checking code. (This step certifies the software.)

Detailed Description Text (420):

The requester extracts a copy of the digital certificate for the software. If the certificate cannot be found or the master repository for the certificate is not known to the requester, the transaction ends with an error.

Detailed Description Text (422):

The requester decrypts the digital certificate using the public key of the master repository, recording the identity of the supplier and creator, a key for decrypting the software, the compatibility information, and a tamper-checking code. (This step authenticates the certification of the software, including the script for uninstalling it.)

Detailed Description Text (441):

In this scenario, a creator wants to protect the reputation and value of his work by

making certain requirements on its distributors. He issues licenses to distributors that satisfy the requirements, and in turn, promises to reward their efforts by assuring that the work will not be distributed over competing channels. The distributors incur expenses for selecting the digital work, explaining it to buyers, promoting its sale, and possibly for the license itself. The distributor obtains the right to enclose the digital work in a shell, whose function is to permit the attachment of usage fees to be paid to the distributor in addition to the fees to be paid to the creator.

Detailed Description Text (469):

In this scenario, several first creators create works. A second creator makes a selection of these, publishing a collection made up of the parts together with some new interstitial material. (For example, the digital work could be a selection of music or a selection of readings.) The second creator wants to continue to allow some of the selected works to be extractable, but not the interstitial material.

Detailed Description Text (538):

A structure which describes the location of content and the usage rights and usage fees for a digital work. A description tree is comprised of description blocks. Each description block corresponds to a digital work or to an interest (typically a revenue bearing interest) in a digital work.

Detailed Description Text (563):

A special type of description block designating an interest in a digital work, but which does not add content. This will typically be added by a distributor of a digital work to add their fees.

Detailed Description Paragraph Table (2):

TABLE 2 REPOSITORY SECURITY LEVELS Level

Description of Security 0 Open system.

Document transmission is unencrypted. No digital certificate is required for identification. The security of the system depends mostly on user honesty, since only modest knowledge may be needed to circumvent the security measures. The repository has no provisions for preventing unauthorized programs from running and accessing or copying files. The system does not prevent the use of removable storage and does not encrypt stored files. 1 Minimal security. Like the previous class except that stored files are minimally encrypted, including ones on removable storage. 2 Basic security. Like the previous class except that special tools and knowledge are required to compromise the programming, the contents of the repository, or the state of the clock. All digital communications are encrypted. A digital certificate is provided as identification. Medium level encryption is used. Repository identification number is unforgeable. 3 General security. Like the previous class plus the requirement of special tools are needed to compromise the physical integrity of the repository and that modest encryption is used on all transmissions. Password protection is required to use the local user interface. The digital clock system cannot be reset without authorization. No works would be stored on removable storage. When executing works as programs, it runs them in their own address space and does not give them direct access to any file storage or other memory containing system code or works. They can access works only through the transmission transaction protocol. 4 Like the previous class except that high level encryption is used on all communications. Sensors are used to record attempts at physical and electronic tampering. After such tampering, the repository will not perform other transactions until it has reported such tampering to a designated server. 5 Like the previous class except that if the physical or digital attempts at tampering exceed some preset thresholds that threaten the physical integrity of the repository or the integrity of digital and cryptographic barriers, then the repository will save only document description records of history but will erase or destroy any digital identifiers that could be misused if released to an unscrupulous party. It also modifies any certificates of authenticity to indicate that the physical system has been compromised. It also erases the contents of designated documents. 6 Like the previous class except that the repository will attempt wireless communication to report tampering and will employ noisy alarms. 10 This would correspond to a very high level of security. This server would maintain constant communications to remote security systems reporting transactions, sensor readings, and attempts to circumvent security.

Other Reference Publication (19):

Simmel, S.S., and Godard, I., "Metering and Licensing of Resources: Kala's General

Purpose Approach," IMA Intellectual Property Project Proceedings, Jan. 1994, vol. 1, Issue 1, pp. 81-110.

CLAIMS:

8. The distribution system as recited in claim 1 wherein said digital work is a software program.
9. The distribution system as recited in claim 8 wherein said grammar is further for creating instances of a usage right indicating that said possessor of said digital work is able to install said software program.
10. The distribution system as recited in claim 8 wherein said grammar is further for creating instances of a usage right indicating that said possessor of said digital work is able to uninstall said software program.
17. The computer based system for controlling distribution and use of digital works as recited in claim 14 wherein said grammar is further comprised of a third plurality of grammar elements for defining file management usage rights.
21. The computer based system for controlling distribution and use of digital works as recited in claim 14 wherein said grammar is further comprised of a fifth plurality of grammar elements for enabling the secure installation and uninstallation of digital works comprising of software programs.